

4 Multiple random variables

4.1 Discrete multivariate distributions

Definition. Let \mathcal{S} be a sample space. A **random vector** (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) is a k -dimensional function defined on \mathcal{S} (or a “vector of random variables all defined simultaneously on \mathcal{S} ”).

Example. Consider SWR from a population of size N . Possible responses: yes/no/unknown with proportions p_1, p_2, p_3 ($p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$). There are N^n possible samples (of size n). For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(s) &= \text{“\# yes in the sample”}, \\ X_2(s) &= \text{“\# no in the sample”}, \\ X_3(s) &= \text{“\# unknowns in the sample”}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (X_1, X_2, X_3) is a trivariate random vector with $X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = n$. Select x_1 “yes”, x_2 “no” and x_3 “unknown”. There are $(Np_1)^{x_1}(Np_2)^{x_2}(Np_3)^{x_3}$ ways to select these and $n!/(x_1!x_2!x_3!)$ ways to order a selection. This gives the **trinomial** pmf

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, X_3 = x_3) &= \frac{1}{N^n} \frac{n!}{x_1!x_2!x_3!} (Np_1)^{x_1} (Np_2)^{x_2} (Np_3)^{x_3} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^n} \frac{n!}{x_1!x_2!x_3!} \overbrace{N^{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}}^n p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} \\ &= \frac{n!}{x_1!x_2!x_3!} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. Let (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) be a random vector with each X_i discrete.

(i) The joint pmf for (X_1, \dots, X_k) is $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k)$.

(ii) The (marginal) pmf for each X_i is $f_{X_i}(x) = P(X_i = x)$ (in line with the one-dimensional case).

Theorem. Suppose (X, Y) has joint pmf $f_{X,Y}$. Then $f_X(x) = \sum_y f_{X,Y}(x, y)$ and $f_Y(y) = \sum_x f_{X,Y}(x, y)$ (“sum out”).

Proof: partitions rule.

Definition. Suppose (X, Y) has joint pmf $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$. The **conditional pmf** of X given $Y = y$ is

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = P(X = x|Y = y) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} & \text{if } f_Y(y) > 0, \\ f_X(x) & \text{if } f_Y(y) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem. Suppose X and Y have a joint discrete distribution. For fixed y , the conditional pmf $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$ is a valid pmf in x .

Proof: “ ≥ 0 ” holds by definition. By using the previous definition and theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) > 0: \quad \sum_x f_{X|Y}(x|y) &= \frac{\sum_x f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{f_Y(y)}{f_Y(y)} = 1, \\ f_Y(y) = 0: \quad \sum_x f_{X|Y}(x|y) &= \sum_x f_X(x) = 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition. R.v.'s X_1, \dots, X_k are independent if, for all subsets A_1, \dots, A_k ,

$$P(X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_k \in A_k) = P(X_1 \in A_1) \cdots P(X_k \in A_k).$$

“Any k events about X_1, \dots, X_k are independent.”

Example. Roll two fair dice with $X_i =$ “result of dice i ”, $i = 1, 2$.

(i) X_1 and X_2 (“the two dice”) are independent: consider arbitrary A, B .

Then $P(X_1 = j, X_2 = k) = 1/36$, $P(X_1 = j) = P(X_2 = j) = 1/6$

($j, k = 1, \dots, 6$), and

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 \in A, X_2 \in B) &= \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{k \in B} P(X_1 = j, X_2 = k) \quad (= \frac{1}{36} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{k \in B} P(X_1 = j)P(X_2 = k) = \sum_{j \in A} P(X_1 = j) \sum_{k \in B} P(X_2 = k) \\ &= P(X_1 \in A)P(X_2 \in B). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) X_1 and $Y = X_1 + X_2$ are not independent:

$$P(X_1 = 6, Y = 2) = 0 \neq P(X_1 = 6)P(Y = 2) > 0.$$

Theorem. Suppose (X, Y) has joint pmf $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$. Then

- (i) X, Y are independent $\iff f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ for all x, y ,
- (ii) X, Y are independent $\iff f_{X|Y}(x|y) = f_X(x)$ for all x ,
- (iii) X, Y are independent $\iff f_{X,Y}(x, y) = g(x)h(y)$ for some functions g, h .

Proof: (i) “ \Rightarrow ” holds by definition. “ \Leftarrow ”:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in A, Y \in B) &= \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \sum_{x \in A} f_X(x) \sum_{y \in B} f_Y(y) \\ &= P(X \in A)P(Y \in B). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) exercise.

(iii) “ \Rightarrow ” holds by (i). “ \Leftarrow ”:

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x)f_Y(y) &= \sum_y f_{X,Y}(x, y) \sum_x f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \sum_y g(x)h(y) \sum_x g(x)h(y) \\ &= g(x)h(y) \sum_y h(y) \sum_x g(x) = f_{X,Y}(x, y) \sum_y \sum_x g(x)h(y) \\ &= f_{X,Y}(x, y) \underbrace{\sum_y \sum_x f_{X,Y}(x, y)}_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Example. Roll two fair dice with results (X_1, X_2) and total Y . Obtain the pmf of Y by using the joint pmf of (X_1, X_2) . *Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= P(X_1 + X_2 = y) = \sum \sum_{x_1 + x_2 = y} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2 = y - x_1} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{x_1} f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, y - x_1) \\ &= \sum_{x_1} f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(y - x_1) = \sum_{x_1=1}^6 \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{1}_{\{1, \dots, 6\}}(x_1) \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{1}_{\{1, \dots, 6\}}(y - x_1) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{2, \dots, 12\}}(y) \frac{1}{36} (6 - |7 - y|). \end{aligned}$$

(In the last step just count all possibilities for which $1 \leq x_1 \leq 6$ and $1 \leq y - x_1 \leq 6$). The arguments just used yield the following theorem:

Theorem. Consider (X, Y) with joint pmf $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$ and $T = X + Y$.

- (i) The pmf for T is $f_T(t) = \sum_x f_{X,Y}(x, t-x) = \sum_y f_{X,Y}(t-y, y)$.
(ii) (**Convolution formula.**) If X and Y are independent, then

$$f_T(t) = \sum_x f_X(x)f_Y(t-x) = \sum_y f_X(t-y)f_Y(y).$$

Example / Theorem. Let $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ and $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$, X, Y independent. Then $X + Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda + \mu)$. (“ $X + Y$ stays in the family”, with $E(X + Y) = EX + EY = \lambda + \mu$.)

Proof. Let $T = X + Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(t) &= \sum_x f_X(x)f_Y(t-x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} \frac{\mu^{t-x} e^{-\mu}}{(t-x)!} 1_{\{0,1,\dots\}}(t-x) \\ &= \frac{(\lambda + \mu)^t e^{-(\lambda + \mu)}}{t!} \sum_{x=0}^t \frac{t!}{(\lambda + \mu)^t} \frac{\lambda^x \mu^{t-x}}{x!(t-x)!} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda + \mu)^t e^{-(\lambda + \mu)}}{t!} \sum_{x=0}^t \binom{t}{x} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^{t-x}}_{1-p} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^x}_p \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired formula since the sum (of $\text{bin}(t, p)$ probabilities) equals 1.

Note. The above method can be used for arbitrary functions of discrete r.v.’s X, Y :

$$f_{g(X,Y)}(w) = P\{g(X, Y) = w\} = \sum_{g(x,y)=w} f_{X,Y}(x, y).$$

Sometimes it is easier to compute the cdf:

Example. Consider X, Y independent, $W = \min(X, Y)$, $X \sim \text{geometric}(p)$, $Y \sim \text{geometric}(q)$. We know $P(X > n) = (1 - p)^n$, $P(Y > m) = (1 - q)^m$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P(W \leq w) &= 1 - P(W > w) = 1 - P(X > w, Y > w) \\ &= 1 - P(X > w)P(Y > w) = 1 - \{(1 - p)(1 - q)\}^w. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\tilde{p} = (1 - p)(1 - q)$ gives $P(W > w) = \tilde{p}^w = \{1 - (1 - \tilde{p})\}^w$, that is $W \sim \text{geometric}(1 - \tilde{p}) = \text{geometric}\{1 - (1 - p)(1 - q)\}$.

4.2 Continuous multivariate distributions

Definition. The random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) has an absolutely continuous distribution with (joint) pdf $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ if for all $a_i \leq b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$P(a_1 < X_1 < b_1, \dots, a_k < X_k < b_k) = \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \cdots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k.$$

In this case, for ‘any nice’ $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$:

$$P\{(X_1, \dots, X_k) \in A\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_A(x_1, \dots, x_k) f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k.$$

Q: How to obtain a *marginal* pdf?

A: *Integrate out* the other variables.

Theorem. Consider (X, Y) with joint pdf $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$. Then X and Y are each (absolutely) continuous with **marginals**

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy, \quad f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx.$$

(Analogously for k dimensions.)

Proof. Note that $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) dx$ for all $[a, b]$ implies $f(x) = g(x)$ (almost surely).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x) dx &= P(a \leq X \leq b) = P(a \leq X \leq b, -\infty \leq Y \leq \infty) \\ &= \int_a^b \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy}_{\hat{=} g(x)} dx. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Example. Consider (X, Y) with pdf $f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda(x+y)} + \mu^2 e^{-\mu(x+y)}) 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) 1_{(0,\infty)}(y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda(x+y)} + \mu^2 e^{-\mu(x+y)}) 1_{(0,\infty)}(y) dy 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-\lambda e^{-\lambda(x+y)} - \mu e^{-\mu(x+y)}) \Big|_{y=0}^{\infty} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda e^{-\lambda x} + \mu e^{-\mu x}) 1_{(0,\infty)}(x) = f_Y(x) \end{aligned}$$

(average of two exponentials). These are valid pdf's since $\int_0^{\infty} f_X(x) = 1 = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y)$.

Definition. Suppose (X, Y) has joint pdf $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$. The **conditional pdf** of X given $Y = y$ is

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} & \text{if } f_Y(y) > 0 \\ f_X(x) & \text{if } f_Y(y) = 0 \end{cases}$$

The **conditional distribution** of X given $Y = y$ is given by probabilities such as

$$P(a \leq X \leq b \mid Y = y) = \int_a^b f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx$$

where $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$ is a valid pdf (as in the discrete case).

Problem (with the left-hand side):

the expression is technically not defined ($P(Y = y) = 0$).

Explanation: Let $\delta > 0$ be small, suppose $f_{X,Y}$ is continuous. Then

$$P(a \leq X \leq b \mid y \leq Y \leq y + \delta) = \frac{\int_y^{y+\delta} \int_a^b f_{X,Y}(x, u) dx du}{\int_y^{y+\delta} f_Y(u) du}$$