

Linear Regression

The classical linear model is

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon,$$

where Y is $n \times 1$, X is $n \times p$, β is $p \times 1$ and

$$\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 I).$$

Let $M = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ and $\tau = 1/\sigma^2$. Assume that

- $\pi(\beta) \propto 1$,
- τ is known, and
- X is of full rank p .

Then the posterior distribution of β (given y) is $N(\hat{\beta}, \tau^{-1}(X^T X)^{-1})$, where

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$$

We use the familiar technique of completing the square to prove the last statement.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right] \\
 &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}\right)\right] \\
 &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\right] \\
 &\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that the posterior of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is $N(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \tau^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})$.

Jeffreys prior for τ known

The log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log \tau \\
 &\quad -\frac{\tau}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \log f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \tau \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_{ij} - \tau \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i \sum_{k=1}^n x_{kj} x_{ki}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_j} \log f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\tau \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} x_{kj}$$

So, the information matrix is

$$\mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \tau(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}),$$

and the Jeffreys prior is

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \propto |\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}|^{1/2} \propto 1.$$

Verify that when $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and τ are both unknown the Jeffreys prior is

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tau) \propto \tau^{p/2-1}.$$

Let $t_k(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ denote the p -variate t -distribution with k degrees of freedom, mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and dispersion matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ (p. 577 GCSR).

When using the noninformative prior $\pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tau) \propto \tau^{-1}$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y} \sim t_{n-p}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, s^2(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}),$$

where $s^2 = \mathbf{Y}^T(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M})\mathbf{Y}/(n - p)$, and

$$\tau|\mathbf{y} \sim \text{gamma}\left(\frac{n-p}{2}, \frac{(n-p)s^2}{2}\right).$$

The joint posterior is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tau|\mathbf{y}) &\propto \tau^{n/2-1} \\ &\times \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Simple algebra shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \\
 \mathbf{y}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M})\mathbf{y} + (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) &\propto \int_0^\infty \tau^{n/2-1} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}Q(\boldsymbol{\beta})\right] d\tau \\
 &\propto Q(\boldsymbol{\beta})^{-n/2} \\
 &\propto \left[1 + \frac{1}{s^2(n-p)}\right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right]^{-(n-p)/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y} \sim t_{n-p}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, s^2(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})$.

We have also

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\tau|\mathbf{y}) &\propto \tau^{n/2-1} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(n-p)s^2\right] \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}Q_1(\boldsymbol{\beta})\right] d\boldsymbol{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}Q_1(\boldsymbol{\beta})\right] d\boldsymbol{\beta} &= \\ (2\pi)^{p/2} |(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}/\tau|^{1/2} &= \\ (2\pi)^{p/2} |\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}|^{-1/2} \tau^{-p/2}, &\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\pi(\tau|\mathbf{y}) \propto \tau^{(n-p)/2-1} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(n-p)s^2\right].$$

Prediction

Prediction is often an important problem in regression analysis. The Bayesian paradigm provides an ideal mechanism for constructing predictions and prediction regions.

Suppose we have \mathbf{X} and observations \mathbf{y} from the linear model on p. 166N.

We wish to predict the $q \times 1$ vector \mathbf{Z} of future observations corresponding to the $q \times p$ matrix of covariates \mathbf{X}_f . We have

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}_f \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_f,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_f$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_f \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$. We assume that \mathbf{X}_f is of full column rank.

Again using the noninformative prior $\pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tau) \propto \tau^{-1}$, we will show that $\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{y}$ has the q -variate t distribution

$$t_{n-p} \left(\mathbf{X}_f \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, s^2 \left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right) \right).$$

The proof of this result is actually somewhat tedious, and so not every step will be shown.

To begin with, the conditional density of \mathbf{Z} given \mathbf{y} is

$$m(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{y}) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} f(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tau) \pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \tau|\mathbf{y}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\tau.$$

The integrand is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{(q+n)/2-1} \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}_f \boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}_f \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right] \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tau}{2} \left[(n-p)s^2 + Q_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic form in the first exp term may be written

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_z)^T \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_z) + \mathbf{z}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}_f) \mathbf{z} = \\ Q_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{z}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}_f) \mathbf{z}, \end{aligned}$$

using notation that parallels that on p. 166N.

“Completing the square” for matrices

Consider $u^T A u - 2u^T \alpha$. Want to “complete the square.”

$$\begin{aligned} u^T A u - 2u^T \alpha &= u^T A u - 2u^T A A^{-1} \alpha \\ &= u^T A u - 2u^T A A^{-1} \alpha \\ &\quad + \alpha^T A^{-1} \alpha - \alpha^T A^{-1} \alpha \\ &= (u - A^{-1} \alpha)^T A (u - A^{-1} \alpha) \\ &\quad - \alpha^T A^{-1} \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Now apply this result to

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\beta) + Q_2(\beta) &= \\ \beta^T X_f^T X_f \beta - 2\beta^T X_f^T X_f \hat{\beta}_z + \hat{\beta}_z^T X_f^T X_f \hat{\beta}_z \\ \beta^T X^T X \beta - 2\beta^T X^T X \hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}^T X^T X \hat{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation on the first half of p. 174N,
write

$$u = \beta, \quad A = X_f^T X_f + X^T X$$

and

$$\alpha = X_f^T X_f \hat{\beta}_z + X^T X \hat{\beta}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\beta) + Q_2(\beta) = \\ (\beta - A^{-1}\alpha)^T A(\beta - A^{-1}\alpha) - \alpha^T A^{-1}\alpha \\ + \hat{\beta}^T X^T X \hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}_z^T X_f^T X_f \hat{\beta}_z. \end{aligned}$$

Deft matrix algebra shows that

$$-\alpha^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \alpha + \hat{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}_z^T \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\beta}_z =$$

$$(\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_z)^T \Lambda_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_z),$$

where

$$\Lambda_f = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}.$$

A key in the “deft algebra” is recognizing the fact that

$$\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \left[\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \right] =$$

$$\Lambda_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}.$$

At first, this seems like voodoo, but it's just the matrix analog of the identity

$$a \left(1 - \frac{a}{a+b} \right) = b \left(1 - \frac{b}{a+b} \right).$$

So, now we see that the integrand of the integral on p. 173N is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{(q+n)/2-1} \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(n-p)s^2 \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha})^T \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_z)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_z) \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2} \mathbf{z}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}_f) \mathbf{z} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

More matrix algebra shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_z)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_z) + \mathbf{z}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}_f) \mathbf{z} = \\ & \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ & \quad - 2\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ & \quad + \mathbf{z}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) \mathbf{z}. \end{aligned}$$

We need a couple of identities to finish the proof.

$$1. \left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right]^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T$$

$$2. \mathbf{X}_f = \left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right) \mathbf{X}_f \times (\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}.$$

Proof of 1.

The equality is true iff

$$\left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right] \left[\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right] = \mathbf{I}.$$

The lhs immediately above is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \\ & - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T = \\ & \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \\ & - \mathbf{X}_f \left[\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \right] \mathbf{X}_f^T. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \left[\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \right] = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}.$$

But the last equality is true iff

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} &= \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Proof of 2.

This equality is true iff

$$\left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right) \mathbf{X}_f = \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}.$$

Now,

$$\mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}_f.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \right) \mathbf{X}_f &= \\ \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}_f, \end{aligned}$$

and hence Q.E.D.

Now, continuing from the last expression on p. 177N, and using yet again our “complete the square” trick,

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\beta}^T \Lambda_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} - 2z^T \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ & \quad + z^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) z = \\ & \quad \hat{\beta}^T \Lambda_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ & + (z - \mu z)^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) (z - \mu z) \\ & \quad - \mu z^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) \mu z, \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu z &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ &= \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write (*) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\beta}^T \left[\Lambda_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \Lambda_f^T \right] \hat{\beta} \\ & + (z - \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\beta})^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) (z - \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\Lambda_f = \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1}$,

$$\Lambda_f \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \Lambda_f^T =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \\ & + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f. \end{aligned}$$

Claim:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \\ & \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f. \end{aligned}$$

The claim is true iff

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I},$$

which is true iff

$$\mathbf{X}_f^T \mathbf{X}_f + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A},$$

which is true by definition.

Putting everything together, $m(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{y})$ is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \tau^{(q+n)/2-1} \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(n-p)s^2 \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha})^T \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right] d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \tau^{(q-p+n)/2-1} \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(n-p)s^2 \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_f \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{X}_f \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is proportional to the q -variate t -distribution

$$t_{n-p}(\mathbf{X}_f \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, s^2(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_f(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_f^T)).$$