

**Definition 5.13** Given an optimisation problem with domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimise} & f(\mathbf{w}), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \Omega, \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \\ & h_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{array}$$

we define the generalised Lagrangian function as

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \beta)} &= f(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i h_i(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= f(\mathbf{w}) + \alpha' \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}) + \beta' \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

We can now define the Lagrangian dual problem.

**Definition 5.14** The Lagrangian dual problem of the primal problem of Definition 5.1 is the following problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximise} & \theta(\alpha, \beta), \\ \text{subject to} & \alpha \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{array}$$

where  $\theta(\alpha, \beta) = \inf_{\mathbf{w} \in \Omega} L(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \beta)$ . The value of the objective function at the optimal solution is called the *value of the problem*.

We begin by proving a theorem known as the weak duality theorem, which gives one of the fundamental relationships between the primal and dual problems and has two useful corollaries.

**Theorem 5.21 (Kuhn-Tucker)** Given an optimisation problem with convex domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimise} & f(\mathbf{w}), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \Omega, \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \\ & h_i(\mathbf{w}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{array}$$

with  $f \in C^1$  convex and  $g_i, h_i$  affine, necessary and sufficient conditions for a normal point  $\mathbf{w}^*$  to be an optimum are the existence of  $\alpha^*, \beta^*$  such that

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{w}^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*)}{\partial \beta} = 0,$$

$$\alpha_i^* g_i(\mathbf{w}^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$g_i(\mathbf{w}^*) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$\alpha_i^* \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

**Remark 5.22** The third relation is known as Karush-Kuhn-Tucker complementarity condition. It implies that for active constraints,  $\alpha_i^* \geq 0$ , whereas for inactive constraints  $\alpha_i^* = 0$ .