

## ON SPECTRAL ANALYSIS WITH MISSING OBSERVATIONS AND AMPLITUDE MODULATION\*

By EMANUEL PARZEN

*Stanford University*

*SUMMARY.* The notion of an asymptotically stationary time series and its spectral analysis was considered by the author (Parzen, 1961b). An important example of an asymptotically stationary time series is an amplitude modulated stationary time series. In this note, the problem of spectral analysis of stationary normal time series with missing observations, recently treated by Jones (1962), is treated as a special case of the problem of spectral analysis of an amplitude modulated stationary normal time series.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{X(t), t = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a discrete parameter time series with zero means and finite second moments. It is said to be *weakly* (see Doob, 1953) or *covariance* (see Parzen, 1962) *stationary* if there exists a function, denoted  $R(v)$  and called the covariance function of the time series, such that for  $v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$R(v) = E[X(t) X(t+v)] \quad \dots \quad (1.1)$$

independently of  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is said to be asymptotically (weakly) stationary if instead of (1.1) it holds that

$$R(v) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-v} E[X(t) X(t+v)]. \quad \dots \quad (1.2)$$

If either (1.1) or (1.2) hold, the time series is said to be *ergodic* if the sample covariance function

$$R_T(v) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-v} X(t) X(t+v) \quad \dots \quad (1.3)$$

is, for  $v = 0, 1, \dots$ , a consistent in quadratic mean estimate of  $R(v)$ . In order for this to be the case it is necessary and sufficient that for each  $v$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}[R_T(v)] = 0. \quad \dots \quad (1.4)$$

One important way in which asymptotically stationary time series arise is by *amplitude modulating a (covariance) stationary process*.

Let  $\{Y(t), t = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a stationary time series with zero means and covariance function

$$R_Y(v) = E[Y(t) Y(t+v)]. \quad \dots \quad (1.5)$$

\*Prepared under Contract Nonr-225 (21), (NR-042-993), for Office of Naval Research; reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Let  $\{g(t), t = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a non-random bounded function possessing a generalized harmonic analysis in the sense that for  $v = 0, 1, \dots$

$$R_g(v) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-v} g(t) g(t+v) \quad \dots (1.6)$$

exists. The time series

$$X(t) = g(t) Y(t) \quad \dots (1.7)$$

may be called the original time series  $Y(\bullet)$  amplitude modulated by the function  $g(\bullet)$ . Since

$$E[X(t) X(t+v)] = g(t) g(t+v) R_Y(v) \quad \dots (1.8)$$

it is clear that while  $X(\bullet)$  is not covariance stationary, it is asymptotically stationary with covariance function  $R_X(v)$  given by

$$R_X(v) = R_g(v) R_Y(v). \quad \dots (1.9)$$

It is shown by the author (Parzen, 1961b) that if  $Y(\bullet)$  is an ergodic normal process, then  $X(\bullet)$  is ergodic. Consequently, given observations  $\{X(t), t=1, 2, \dots, T\}$  a consistent (in quadratic mean) estimate of  $R_X(v)$  is given by the sample covariance function

$$R_T(v) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T-v} X(t) X(t+v). \quad \dots (1.10)$$

A consistent estimate of  $R_Y(v)$  is then available at all lags  $v$  for which  $R_g(v) \neq 0$ , namely

$$\hat{R}_Y(v) = R_T(v)/R_g(v). \quad \dots (1.11)$$

From these facts we obtain immediately the following theorem.

**Theorem 1A :** *Let  $\{Y(t), t = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be stationary and normal with zero means and covariance function  $R_Y(v)$  satisfying*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T R^2(v) = 0, \quad \dots (1.12)$$

so that  $Y(\bullet)$  is ergodic.

*Suppose that the time series  $Y(\bullet)$  is not directly observed. Rather one observes a time series  $X(\bullet)$  which is an amplitude modulated version of  $Y(\bullet)$  :*

$$X(t) = g(t) Y(t), \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \dots (1.13)$$

where  $g(\bullet)$  is a non-random function possessing a covariance function  $R_g(v)$  defined by (1.6). If

$$R_g(v) \neq 0, \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \dots (1.14)$$

a consistent in quadratic mean estimate of the covariance function  $R_Y(v)$  of the unobserved time series  $Y(\bullet)$  is given by (1.11).

## ON SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Assume next that the series  $Y(\bullet)$  possesses a spectral density function  $f_Y(\omega)$  so that

$$R_Y(v) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos v\omega f_Y(\omega) d\omega. \quad \dots (1.15)$$

Given consistent estimates  $\hat{R}_Y(v)$  of  $R_Y(v)$ , one may construct consistent estimates  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$  of  $f_Y(\omega)$  in a multitude of ways by suitably choosing the weights  $k_T(v)$  in the formula

$$\hat{f}_Y(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{R}_Y(0) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{v=1}^T \cos v\omega k_T(v) \hat{R}_Y(v); \quad \dots (1.16)$$

proofs of this assertion are essentially given in Parzen (1961a) and Parthasarathy (1960). We do not discuss this assertion further here since we will actually obtain a formula for the asymptotic variance of the estimate  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$ .

## 2. MISSING OBSERVATIONS

There exist time series  $\{Y(t), t = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , defined at equally spaced intervals of time, which are systematically unobservable. For example, in radar studies of the surface of the moon, one observes a time series  $Y(\bullet)$  which represents the *echo* (reflection from the moon) of a radar signal transmitted to the moon. In order to receive the echo, one must systematically cease transmission during the intervals in which one is receiving the echo. Another example of missing observations is the case of a time series which can be observed only during certain hours of the day.

A time series with missing observations seems to be best regarded as an amplitude modulated version of the original time series :

$$X(t) = g(t) Y(t), \quad t = 1, 2, \dots \quad \dots (2.1)$$

where (i)  $Y(\bullet)$  is the time series under study, assumed to be defined at successive equally spaced points of time, (ii)  $g(\bullet)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= 0 \text{ if } Y(t) \text{ is missing at time } t, \\ &= 1 \text{ if } Y(t) \text{ is observed at time } t, \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.2)$$

and (iii)  $X(\bullet)$  represents the actually observed values of  $Y(\bullet)$ , with 0 inserted in the series whenever the value of  $Y(t)$  is missing.

A case of particular interest is the case of systematically missing observations. Suppose that the time series  $Y(\bullet)$  is periodically observed for  $\alpha$  time points, then not observed for  $\beta$  time points; then  $g(\bullet)$  is a periodic function with period  $\alpha + \beta$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= 1 \quad \text{if } t = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if } t = \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + \beta. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.3)$$

## SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES A

It may be shown that a periodic function  $g(\bullet)$  possesses a generalized harmonic analysis. If the period of  $g(\bullet)$  is  $\theta$  (for  $g(\bullet)$  defined by (2.3),  $\theta = \alpha + \beta$ ), then its covariance function  $R_g(\bullet)$  has period  $\theta$  and is given by

$$R_g(v) = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta} g(t) g(t+\theta). \quad \dots (2.4)$$

Thus for  $g(\bullet)$  defined by (2.3), the covariance function  $R_g(\bullet)$  has period  $\alpha + \beta$ . To determine its values for  $0 \leq v \leq \alpha + \beta - 1$ , we distinguish two cases : (i)  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and (ii)  $\alpha > \beta$ .

TABLE 1. VALUES OF  $R_g(v)$ 

case (i) : $\alpha \leq \beta$	case (ii) : $\alpha > \beta$
$\frac{\alpha-v}{\alpha+\beta}, v = 0, \dots, \alpha,$	$\frac{\alpha-v}{\alpha+\beta}, v = 0, \dots, \beta$
$0, v = \alpha, \dots, \beta$	$\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}, v = \beta, \dots, \alpha$
$\frac{v-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}, v = \beta, \dots, \alpha+\beta$	$\frac{v-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}, v = \alpha, \dots, \alpha+\beta.$

Only in the case  $\alpha > \beta$  (one observes more values than one misses) does  $R_g(v)$  never vanish. Therefore in order to be able to estimate  $R_Y(v)$  we must assume that  $\alpha > \beta$ .

## 3. VARIANCE OF SPECTRAL ESTIMATES

In this section we find the variance of the estimated spectral density function  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$  when it is formed from observations of an amplitude modulated time series  $X(t)$  satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1A. We first note that  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$ , defined by (1.16), can be written

$$\hat{f}_Y(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \sum_{s,t=1}^T g(s) g(t) Y(s) Y(t) \cos \omega(s-t) h_T(s-t) \{R_g(s-t)\}^{-1}. \quad \dots (3.1)$$

In words,  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$  is a quadratic form in the time series  $Y(\bullet)$ .

Let  $a(s, t)$  be a symmetric function of two variables, and let

$$J_T | a(s, t) | = \sum_{s,t=1}^T a(s, t) Y(s) Y(t) \quad \dots (3.2)$$

denote a quadratic form in the stationary normally distributed random variables  $Y(\bullet)$  with covariance function  $R_Y(\bullet)$  and spectral density function  $f_Y(\bullet)$ . It may be verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var } [J_T | a(s, t)] &= \sum_{s, u, v=1}^T a(s, t) a(u, v) \{R_Y(s-u) R_Y(t-v) + R_Y(s-v) R_Y(t-u)\} \\ &= 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 f_Y(\lambda_1) f_Y(\lambda_2) |A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|^2 \quad \dots (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

defining 
$$A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \sum_{s, t=1}^T a(s, t) \exp [i(s\lambda_1 + t\lambda_2)]. \quad \dots (3.4)$$

The usual case considered in the theory of spectral analysis of stationary time series is the case where

$$a(s, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \cos \omega(s-t) k_T(s-t) \quad \dots (3.5)$$

and 
$$k_T(v) = k(B_T v) \quad \dots (3.6)$$

for a suitable weighting function  $k(v)$  and constants  $B_T$  satisfying

$$B_T \rightarrow 0, \quad T B_T \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty; \quad \dots (3.7)$$

for the exact conditions to be satisfied by the covariance averaging kernel  $k(v)$  (see Parzen, 1957, p. 336). Define

$$K_T(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \sum_{s, t=1}^T \exp [i(s\omega_1 + t\omega_2)] k_T(s-t). \quad \dots (3.8)$$

By the argument employed in Parzen (1957, p. 342), one may show that for suitable functions  $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  which are symmetric in the sense that

$$f(-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2) = f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T B_T \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) K_T(\lambda_1 + \omega_1, \lambda_2 + \omega_2) K_T(-\lambda_1 - \omega_2, -\lambda_2 - \omega_4) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \\ = \begin{cases} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du & \text{if } \omega_1 = \omega_3, \omega_2 = \omega_4. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \dots (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (3.9) holds for a function  $f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  of the form

$$f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{v_1, v_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp [i(v_1\omega_1 + v_2\omega_2)] R(v_1, v_2) \quad \dots (3.10)$$

where 
$$\sum_{v_1, v_2=-\infty}^{\infty} |R(v_1, v_2)| < \infty. \quad \dots (3.11)$$

A careful derivation of (3.9) would unduly lengthen the present paper. However, let us sketch a proof. It suffices to show that (3.9) holds for

$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \exp [i(\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2)] \quad \dots (3.12)$$

for arbitrary integers  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Under (3.12), the double integral in (3.9) can be written

$$(4\pi^2 T)^{-1} B_T \sum_{s, t, u, v=1}^T k_T(s-t) k_T(u-v) J(s-u+v_1) J(t-v+v_2) \exp [i(s\omega_1 + t\omega_2 - u\omega_3 - v\omega_4)], \quad \dots (3.13)$$

defining 
$$J(\alpha) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\alpha\lambda} d\lambda = 2 \frac{\sin \pi\alpha}{\alpha}. \quad \dots (3.14)$$

In (3.13) make the change of variables

$$x = s-u, \quad y = t-v, \quad z = u-v.$$

so that 
$$s = x+u, \quad t = y-z+u, \quad v = u-z.$$

Then (3.13) becomes

$$(4\pi^2)^{-1} B_T \sum_{x, y, z} J(x+v_1) J(y+v_2) k_T(z) k_T(z+x-y) \exp [i(x\omega_1 + y\omega_2 + z(\omega_4 - \omega_2))] \times \frac{1}{T} \sum_{u=1}^T \exp [iu(\omega_1 - \omega_3 + \omega_2 - \omega_4)]. \quad \dots (3.15)$$

As  $T$  tends to  $\infty$ , (3.15) has the following limiting values : if  $\omega_1 = \omega_3$  and  $\omega_2 = \omega_4$ , then it has the value

$$(4\pi^2)^{-1} \sum_{x, y=-\infty}^{\infty} J(x+v_1) J(y+v_2) \exp [i(x\omega_1 + y\omega_2)] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du \quad \dots (3.16)$$

and 0 otherwise. To conclude the proof of (3.9) we need only note that

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} J(x+v_1) \exp [ix\omega_1] = \exp [-iv_1\omega_1]. \quad \dots (3.17)$$

We next show how using (3.9) one may derive an expression for the variance of the spectral density function of an amplitude modulated normal time series. We are then considering quadratic forms corresponding to

$$a(s, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \cos \omega(s-t) k_T(s-t) h(s, t), \quad \dots (3.18)$$

defining 
$$h(s, t) = g(s) g(t) \{R_\nu(s-t)\}^{-1}. \quad \dots (3.19)$$

We consider only the important special case that  $g(t)$  is a periodic function. If  $g(t)$  has period  $\theta$ , then it possesses the harmonic representation

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e_n \exp [it \lambda_n] G_n \quad \dots (3.20)$$

where  $\lambda_n = 2\pi n/\theta$ ,  $N = \theta/2$  or  $(\theta-1)/2$  according as  $\theta$  is even or odd, and

$$G_n = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^{\theta} \exp [-is\lambda_n] g(s) \quad \text{for } n = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm[\theta/2], \quad \dots (3.21)$$

## ON SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

while  $e_n = 1$  for all  $n$  except that if  $\theta$  is even  $e_{+N} = 1/2$ . It may be verified that  $R_\nu(v)$  is an even function of period  $\theta$  given by

$$R_\nu(v) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e_n G_n G_{-n} \exp[-i\nu\lambda_n]. \quad \dots \quad (3.22)$$

Now

$$g(s) g(t) = \sum_{m, n=-N}^N e_m e_n \exp [i(s\lambda_m + t\lambda_n)] G_m G_n$$

$$\{R_\nu(s-t)\}^{-1} = \sum_{m, n=-N}^N e_m e_n \exp [i(s\lambda_m + t\lambda_n)] W_{m,n} \quad \dots \quad (3.23)$$

where, defining

$$W_n = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^{\theta} \exp [-is\lambda_n] \{R_\nu(s)\}^{-1},$$

$$W_{m,n} = W_n \quad \text{if } m = -n, \quad \dots \quad (3.24)$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Consequently

$$h(s, t) = \sum_{m, n=-N}^N e_m e_n \exp [i(s\lambda_m + t\lambda_n)] H_{m,n} \quad \dots \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$H_{m,n} = \sum_{j,k} e_j e_k W_{j,k} G_{j-m} G_{k-n} = \sum_j e_j W_j G_{j-m} G_{-j-n}. \quad \dots \quad (3.26)$$

It should be noted that

$$H_{0,0} = \sum e_j W_j G_j G_{-j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{s=1}^{\theta} R_\nu(s) \{R_\nu(s)\}^{-1}$$

$$= 1. \quad \dots \quad (3.27)$$

We next write

$$A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \sum_{s,t} \cos \omega(s-t) k_T(s-t) h(s, t) \exp [i(s\lambda_1 + t\lambda_2)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi T} \sum_{m, n=-N}^N e_m e_n H_{m,n} \sum_{s,t} \cos \omega(s-t) k_T(s-t)$$

$$\exp [i\{s(\lambda_1 + \lambda_m) + t(\lambda_2 + \lambda_n)\}]$$

$$= \sum_{m, n=-N}^N e_m e_n H_{m,n} \frac{1}{2} \{K_T(\lambda_1 + \lambda_m + \omega, \lambda_2 + \lambda_n - \omega)$$

$$+ K_T(\lambda_1 + \lambda_m - \omega, \lambda_2 + \lambda_n + \omega)\}. \quad \dots \quad (3.28)$$

We are now in a position to evaluate

$$TB_T \text{ var } [\hat{f}_Y(\omega)] = 2TB_T \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 f_Y(\lambda_1) f_Y(\lambda_2) |A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)|^2.$$

By (3.28) and (3.9) one sees that, as  $T$  tends to  $\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} TB_T \text{ var } [\hat{f}_Y(\omega)] &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} e_m e_n |H_{m,n}|^2 \{f_Y(\lambda_m + \omega) f_Y(\lambda_n - \omega) + f_Y(\lambda_m - \omega) f_Y(\lambda_n + \omega)\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m,n} e_m e_n |H_{m,n}|^2 f_Y(\omega + \lambda_m) f_Y(\omega + \lambda_n) \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du \\ &= \left\{ f_Y^2(\omega) + \sum_{n \neq m} e_m e_n |H_{m,n}|^2 f_Y(\omega + \lambda_m) f_Y(\omega + \lambda_n) \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du. \quad \dots (3.29) \end{aligned}$$

The foregoing formula is valid for  $0 < \omega < \pi$ ; it should be multiplied by 2 in the case that  $\omega = 0$  or  $\omega = \pi$ .

If the spectral density function  $f_Y(\omega)$  had been directly estimated from observations of the time series  $Y(\bullet)$ , the variance of the estimate  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$  would satisfy (for  $0 < \omega < \pi$ )

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} TB_T \text{ var } [\hat{f}_Y(\omega)] = f_Y^2(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du. \quad \dots (3.30)$$

Consequently one can infer from (3.29) the effect on the variance of the estimate  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$  due to the fact that it is formed from an amplitude modulated version of the time series  $Y(\bullet)$ . An upper bound to this variance is

$$TB_T \text{ var } [\hat{f}_Y(\omega)] \leq \bar{H} \{\max_{\omega} f_Y^2(\omega)\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du \quad \dots (3.31)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \bar{H} = \sum_{m,n} e_m e_n |H_{m,n}|^2. \quad \dots (3.32)$$

Thus  $\bar{H}$  may be taken as a measure of the increase in variance due to amplitude modulation.

One may verify that

$$\bar{H} = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{s,t=1}^{\theta} h^2(s,t) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{s,t=1}^{\theta} g^2(s) g^2(t) \{R_{\rho}(s-t)\}^{-2}. \quad \dots (3.33)$$

An upper bound for  $\bar{H}$  can be obtained as follows. Let  $\rho$  be a lower bound for  $R_{\rho}(v)$ :

$$|R_{\rho}(v)| \geq \rho, \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, \theta. \quad \dots (3.34)$$

$$\text{Then} \quad \bar{H} \leq \rho^{-2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta} g^2(t) \right\}^2. \quad \dots (3.35)$$

An exact evaluation of  $\bar{H}$  can be obtained from the formula

$$\bar{H} = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{v=-|\theta-1|}^{\theta-1} \{R_{\rho}(v)\}^{-2} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta-|v|} g^2(t) g^2(t+|v|). \quad \dots (3.36)$$

## ON SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

To illustrate the use of these expressions we consider the modulating function  $g(\bullet)$ , defined by (3.3), which corresponds to the case of periodically missing observations. Then  $\theta = \alpha + \beta$ ,

$$\rho = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta} g^2(t) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad \dots \quad (3.37)$$

By (3.35) 
$$\bar{H} \leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \beta} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{1}{1 - r} \right)^2 \quad \dots \quad (3.38)$$

defining 
$$r = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \quad \dots \quad (3.39)$$

to be the ratio of the number of observations missed to the number observed. An exact expression for  $\bar{H}$  can be obtained from (3.36) : for  $v = 0, 1, \dots, \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta-v} g^2(t) g^2(t+v) &= \frac{\alpha - v}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad v < \alpha, \\ &= 0, \quad v \geq \alpha. \end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (3.40)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \{R_v(v)\}^{-2} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta-v} g^2(t) g^2(t+v) &= \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha - v}, \quad v = 0, 1, \dots, \beta; \\ &= \frac{(\alpha - v)(\alpha + \beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2}, \quad v = \beta, \dots, \alpha; \\ &= 0, \quad v > \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus 
$$(\alpha + \beta) \bar{H} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} + 2 \sum_{v=1}^{\beta} \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha - v} + 2 \sum_{v=\beta+1}^{\alpha} \frac{(\alpha - v)(\alpha + \beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2}.$$

Finally, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha - \beta - 1}{\alpha - \beta} + 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{1}{\alpha - 2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} + \frac{2}{\alpha - 2} + \dots + \frac{2}{\alpha - \beta + 1} + \frac{\alpha - \beta + 1}{\alpha - \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (3.41)$$

By replacing every denominator by  $\alpha - \beta$ , one obtains the following upper bound for  $\bar{H}$  :

$$\bar{H} \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha - \beta} = \frac{1 + r}{1 - r}. \quad \dots \quad (3.42)$$

One easily verifies that (3.42) provides a lower upper bound than does (3.38). In any event, both (3.38) and 3.42) provide some measure of how rapidly the variance of the spectral estimates increases as the ratio  $r$  tends to 1.

## SANKHYĀ : THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS : SERIES A

It may be of interest to express the variance of  $\hat{f}_Y(\omega)$  in terms of the number  $T_0$  of observations actually observed : approximately,

$$T_0 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} T. \quad \dots (3.43)$$

Combining (3.31) and (3.42) one sees that

$$\frac{T_0 B_T \text{var} [\hat{f}_Y(\omega)]}{\left\{ \max_{\omega} \hat{f}_Y(\omega) \right\}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2(u) du} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \bar{H} = \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

## REFERENCES

- DODD, J. L. (1953) : *Stochastic Processes*, Wiley, New York.
- JONES, R. H. (1962) : Spectral analysis with regularly missed observations. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **32**, 455-461.
- PARTHASARATHY, K. R. (1960) : On the estimation of the spectrum of a stationary stochastic process. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **31**, 568-573.
- PARZEN, E. (1957) : On consistent estimates of the spectrum of a stationary time series. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **28**, 329-343.
- (1961a) : Mathematical considerations in the estimation of spectra *Technometrika*, **8**, 167-196.
- (1961b) : Spectral analysis of asymptotically stationary time series. *Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute*, 33rd Session, Paris.
- (1962) : *Stochastic Processes*, Holden-Day, San Francisco.

*Paper received : October, 1962.*